

It is obvious to myself and others that Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation.

My understanding was that Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest in a non-partisan way. Sinclair Broadcasting must not set a precedent in this action.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.